

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•				Section 1997
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,647	12/19/2001	Thomas J. Pinnavaia	MSU 4.1-568	9416
75	590 07/01/2003		1	
McLeod & Moyne, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2190 Commons Okemos, MI 4			SAMPLE,	DAVID R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
<del>,</del>	Application N .	Applicant(s)	17			
	10/025,647	PINNAVAIA ET A	<u>.</u>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Sample	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will expire SIX (6) M a, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I	<u> March 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) 1-83 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		D C 440/-\ /-\ /\$\				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	5. 9 119(a)-(d) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
			l Stage			
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT				
		<del> </del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/025,647

Art Unit: 1755

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 20-29, 43-52, 56, 64, 72-76, 79, 80, 82 and 83, drawn to a porous aluminosilicate, classified in class 501, subclass 80.
- II. Claims 13-19, 30-35, 53-55, 65-71, 77, 78, and 81, drawn to a method of making an aluminosilicate, classified in class 423/, subclass 328.1.
- III. Claims 36, 37, 40-42, 57, 58, and 61-63, drawn to a catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 60+.
- IV. Claims 38, 39, 59, and 60, drawn to a method of catalytic reaction, classified in class 208, subclass 46+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and each of I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as a product containing microporosity, and no mesoporosity.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate

Application/Control Number: 10/025,647

Art Unit: 1755

product is deemed to be useful as a an intermediate product in forming a refractory or as a filler in glaze and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Each of inventions I and III and Invention IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in gas sorption or water purification.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects in that the processes form different products.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 10/025,647

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (703)308-3825. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

> David Sample Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

DRS

June 27, 2003